

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/521,561	SUEOKA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Frank M. Lawrence	<b>Art Unit</b> 1797	

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** allowed(1) Frank M. Lawrence.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Ali Imam.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 19 August 2008**Time:** 2:30 pm e.t.**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*102 rejection of claim 4*

Claims discussed:

4-7

Prior art documents discussed:

*Kuma et al***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that claim 4 remained anticipated by the Kuma et al. patent. Applicant authorized an examiner's amendment to put the application in condition for allowance, includind canceling claim 4 and correcting the dependencies of claims 5-7..